



## New FPGA processing code for JET gamma-ray camera upgrade

A. Fernandes<sup>1\*\*</sup>, R.C. Pereira<sup>1</sup>, B. Santos<sup>1</sup>, J. Bielecki<sup>2,3</sup>, G. Boltruczyk<sup>4</sup>, A. Broslawski<sup>4</sup>, P.F. Carvalho<sup>1</sup>, J. Figueiredo<sup>1,3</sup>, L. Giacomelli<sup>6</sup>, M. Gosk<sup>4</sup>, V. Kiptily<sup>3</sup>, S. Korolczuk<sup>4</sup>, R. Kwiatkowski<sup>4</sup>, A. Murari<sup>3</sup>, M. Nocente<sup>5,6</sup>, D. Rigamonti<sup>5,6</sup>, J. Sousa<sup>1</sup>, M. Tardocchi<sup>6</sup>, A. Urban<sup>4</sup>, I. Zychor<sup>4</sup>, C.M.B.A. Correia<sup>7</sup>, B. Gonçalves<sup>1</sup> and JET Contributors\*

EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-31342 Krakow, Poland;

<sup>3</sup>Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Narodowe Centrum Badań Jądrowych (NCBJ), 05-400 Otwock, Poland;

<sup>5</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica "G. Occhialini", Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy; <sup>6</sup>Istituto di Fisica del Plasma "P. Caldirola", CNR, Milano, Italy;

<sup>7</sup>LibPhys-UC, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, P-3004 516 Coimbra, Portugal

\* See the author list of "Overview of the JET results in support to ITER" by X. Litaudon et al. to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: overview and summary reports from the 26th Fusion Energy Conference (Kyoto, Japan, 17-22 October 2016)

\*\* Corresponding author: [anaf@ipfn.tecnico.ulisboa.pt](mailto:anaf@ipfn.tecnico.ulisboa.pt)

P2/5/04

### INTRODUCTION

- The JET Gamma-ray Camera upgrade (GCU) project aims to replace the former CsI(Tl) + PIN detectors by fast LaBr<sub>3</sub> scintillators coupled to a Multi-Pixel Photon Counter (MPPC).
- These fast scintillators were designed to cope with the high fluxes (> 500kHz) expected during Deuterium-tritium (DT) experiments, while improving the diagnostic spectroscopic capabilities (energy resolution of 5% @ 1.1MeV) [1,2].
- GCU will benefit from the ATCA based Data Acquisition (DAQ) system, successfully installed and commissioned during the JET-EP2 enhancement [3]. However, to cope with the new GCU detector signals, the DAQ FPGA codes need to be rebuilt.
- Dedicated codes were designed, capable to acquire the new signals at full rate, and simultaneously processing it in real-time through suitable algorithms, fitted to the new signal shape.

### JET 2D GAMMA CAMERA

**JET Torus**

**ATCA Shelf @ Diagnostic cubicle**

GCU DAQ includes 3 digitizer modules with:

- 8 ADCs (13-bit @ 250MHz);
- 500 MB of DDR2 memory/ADC;
- 2 FPGAs for data path and data reduction (pulse storage) / real-time processing.

**Table: Memory requested per channel for a single discharge**

	Count Rate (kevent/s)	Samples/event (1 sample = 2 Byte)	Time @ max count rate (s)	Memory requested /channel (MB)
Pulse storage	500	64	10	680 ✗
Real-time processing	500	128	10	1320 ✗
	500	4	10	40 ✓
	1000	4	30	240 ✓

**Real-time processing mandatory for DT**

### CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORK

- Two algorithms, based on PHA and DTS methods, successfully implemented in GCU FPGAs and tested with new LaBr<sub>3</sub> based detectors.
- DTS based algorithm provides improved resolution for the new LaBr<sub>3</sub> signals, considered so far the most adequate method to process the GCU data at FPGA.
- PHA provides fast results, very useful during test phases.
- Further tests needed, with both horizontal and vertical camera in place, as well as during plasma operation.
- Benefiting from the reconfigurable feature of the DAQ FPGAs, algorithms can be improved (e.g. advanced pileup treatment for DT [6], instead of simple rejection/discrimination).

### REAL-TIME PROCESSING CODES

Three algorithms selected, considering its portability to FPGA [4]:

- Pulse Height Analysis (PHA):** height proportional to the energy of the event → fast results.
  - Charge Integration (CI):** area proportional to the energy of the event → highest dependence on count rate / pileup → not suitable for DT.
  - Digital Trapezoidal Shaper (DTS):** filter transforms pulse in trapezoid → height proportional to the energy of the pulse → Improved resolution for new LaBr<sub>3</sub> signals.
- 
- DTS parameters modified (DTS based) to avoid pileup - pulses from filtered signal (---) similar to Gaussians instead of pure trapezoids.

### RESULTS

Both PHA and DTS based methods were successfully implemented and tested in GCU FPGAs.

**PHA – tested during C36-B experimental campaign**

- Time trace (counts/time) of detector #10 (first prototype version) in horizontal camera during discharge #91975. Achieved from real-time processed data at FPGA.
- As expected, the gamma counts increase during NBI and ICRH windows.

**DTS based - tested during installation of detectors (final version) in horizontal camera**

Spectrum from detector #10 (6 hours acquisition) in presence of <sup>22</sup>Na

Spectrum from detector #10 (3 minutes acquisition) in presence of <sup>137</sup>Cs\*\*\*

- Similar spectra obtained for all 10 detectors in horizontal camera.
  - Real-time spectra validated with results from post-processing methods, using pulse storage data acquired in similar conditions.
- \*\*\* It was achieved an energy resolution between 4.9% and 5.7% for <sup>137</sup>Cs 667 keV peak, depending on the detector, which is in agreement with detectors specification report [5].

### REFERENCES

- [1] Zychor et al, "High performance detectors for upgraded gamma ray diagnostics for JET DT campaigns", Physica Scripta vol. 91, 064003 (2016).
- [2] D. Rigamonti et al, Performance of the prototype LaBr<sub>3</sub> spectrometer developed for the JET gamma-ray camera upgrade, Review of Scientific Instruments 87, 11E717 (2016).
- [3] A.M. Fernandes et al, "Real-Time Processing System for the JET Hard X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Profile Monitor Enhancement", IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, vol. 61 (3), pp. 1209-1215 (2014).
- [4] Digital Pulse Processing in Nuclear Physics, CAEN, WP2018, rev. 3 (2011).
- [5] GCU\_HC\_DET\_02\_10, NCBJ, internal report (2017).
- [6] D.B. Gin, I.N.Chugunov, A.E.Shevelev, Instrum.Exp.Tech.51 (2), pp 240-245 (2008).